# Influence of different nano titania on microstructure and electrical properties of low voltage zinc oxide varistors

Lin Cong · Fan Zhuowei · Xu Zheng · Xu Yewen

Published online: 3 January 2008 © Springer Science + Business Media, LLC 2007

Abstract A series of check experiments showed that ZnO varistors doped with  $TiO_2$  of different particle sizes and dispersing states have different densities, porosities and grain morphologies, which relate to their electrical properties tightly. Compared with samples added with  $TiO_2$  dopants of others types, the varistors added with nm- $TiO_2$  sol exhibit large density, low porosity, big granular size, low breakdown voltage gradient and small leakage current. Hence nm- $TiO_2$  sol dopant is the best grain growth enhancing additive for optimizing almost all the electrical parameters.

**Keywords** Porosity · Dispersing state · Electrical properties · Morphology · Voltage gradient

## **1** Introduction

ZnO varistors, which are ceramic semiconductor devices with highly nonlinear current/voltage characteristics, have been proved [1] to be of excellent properties in surge protection against transient overvoltage in electronic circuitry. They are produced by sintering ZnO powder

X. Yewen First Engineers Scientific Research Institute of General Armaments Department, Wuxi 214035, China together with small amount of other metal oxides such as  $Bi_2O_3$ ,  $Sb_2O_3$  and  $TiO_2$ .

The mechanism of conduction and the influence of additives in varistors have been investigated by many workers [2–5], nevertheless most of their results were drawn from varistor samples based on  $ZnO-Bi_2O_3-Sb_2O_3$  system ceramic with high breakdown voltage. The studies of  $ZnO-Bi_2O_3-TiO_2$  system based varistor with low breakdown voltage are still inadequate so far.

H. Suzuki and R.C. Bradt [6] attributed the low breakdown voltage to the large grain size in  $ZnO-Bi_2O_3-TiO_2$  system ceramic compared with that of  $ZnO-Bi_2O_3-Sb_2O_3$  system. They also suggested that  $TiO_2$  enhances the growth of ZnO grains by replacement diffusion of Ti cations on the surface of grains.

In this paper, three types of  $TiO_2$  additives-micron-sized (5–10  $\mu$ m)  $TiO_2$  powder, nano-sized (20 nm on average)  $TiO_2$  powder and nano-sized  $TiO_2$  sol (19 nm on average), were used in the experiment. The influence of  $TiO_2$  of different particle sizes and dispersing states on low voltage varistors were systematically studied for complementing previous pertinent studies.

## 2 Experimental

For 2 h 98.3 mol% ZnO, 0.7 mol%  $Bi_2O_3$  and 1.0 mol%  $TiO_2$  were ball milled with zirconia balls and deionised water. The mixtures were then dried and pressed into discs of 17-mm and 2-mm thickness. The samples were sintered at 900, 1000, 1100, 1200 °C for 2 h, respectively.

For electrical measurements, the sintered samples were coated with conductive silver paint on both surfaces, then

L. Cong (⊠) · F. Zhuowei · X. Zheng · X. Yewen School of Material Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China e-mail: xuzheng1178@yahoo.com.cn



Fig. 1 Variation of density with sintering temperature

heat cured to provide ohmic contacts. I-V characteristics were determined using CJ1001 semiconductor testing device at room temperature. Rough polished by 400#– 1200# grit SiC papers and Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powders, samples were etched by acetic acid for 10 min for SEM analysis.

## 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Microstructure

The curves of density and porosity are showed in Fig. 1. As shown, the difference in dispersing states of  $TiO_2$  powders

**Fig. 2** Comparative SEM of polished sections of ternary system samples

results in difference in density and porosity of samples. Below 1100 °C, the samples containing nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol exhibited the largest density and the lowest porosity. At the same temperature, the samples with nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder exhibited the lowest density, even lower than the samples with  $\mu$ m-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder apparently. From curves of porosity, the highest porosity of samples with nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder means a considerable amount of pores occurred while nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder agglomeration shrinking.

As SEM photos shown (see Fig. 2), the comparison of diameter of grains in each sample (measured by Mendelson method [7], as described in Fig. 3) shows that samples with nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder had smaller grains than samples with nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol. It should be noted that at 900 °C, different from other two samples, the grains of samples added nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol combined together without clear grain boundaries. Such phenomenon only occur in binary ZnO–TiO<sub>2</sub> system without Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, for Bi congregates at the grain boundaries [8].

Existing in forms of monodispersing nm-particles, nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol with large specific area and high mobility of surface atoms promotes grain rearrangement and mass transfer among grains, accelerates pyknosis of ceramics as well as lowers down porosity and enhances grain growth to the best advantage; different from nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol, nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder exists in forms of agglomerates with thermodynamic instability, whose size is even larger than µm- TiO<sub>2</sub> powder. The aggregating particles tend to shrink at initial sintering stage with lots of micro pores formed, resulting in inadequate grain rearrangement and mass transfer among grains, which is confirmed to be the reason why samples with nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder exhibit the highest



Samples sintered at 1100°C

Deringer



Fig. 3 Variation of grain size with sintering temperature

porosity and small grain sizes at low sintering temperature. Although  $\mu$ m-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder didn't aggregate during sintering, its size was much larger than that of nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol, which more or less restricted the effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> on ZnO grain growth and mass transfer. Thus, at 1200 °C, samples added with  $\mu$ m-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder exhibit the smallest grain size.

At 900 °C, as the Eq. 1 described [9],

$$\mathrm{TiO}_2 + 6\mathrm{Bi}_2\mathrm{O}_3 \to \mathrm{Bi}_{12}\mathrm{TiO}_{20} \tag{1}$$

the reaction between  $TiO_2$  and  $Bi_2O_3$  resulted in lack of  $Bi_2O_3$  liquid phases, which can form Bi-rich boundary. From that, lack of grain boundary in samples added nm- $TiO_2$  sol can be attribute to high reactivity of nm- $TiO_2$  sol.

## 3.2 Electrical properties

The most important electrical properties are breakdown voltage gradient  $(U_b)$ , nonlinear coefficient  $(\alpha)$  and leakage current  $(I_L)$ . To low voltage varistor,  $U_b$  and  $I_L$  should be low. As described in Fig. 4, it's found that samples with nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol have the lowest  $U_b$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $I_L$ .

The relationship between  $U_{\rm b}$  (V/mm), average grain diameter  $d_0$  (µm) and breakdown voltage  $V_{\rm g}$  (V) is given by:

$$U_b = (1000/d_0 - 1)V_g \approx 1000V_g/d_0 \tag{2}$$

According to this equation, the largest grains in samples with nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol result in the lowest  $U_b$  directly. Variation of



Fig. 4 Variation of electric properties data ( $U_{\rm b}$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $I_{\rm L}$ ) of ternary system samples (ZnO–Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub>)

 $U_{\rm b}$  is opposite to variation of grain size in combination with Figs. 3 and 4. It can be concluded that nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol can lower down breakdown voltage largely (even lower than 3.2V/mm).

In terms of hole induced tunneling theory [10],  $\alpha$  results from the tunneling of the boundary barrier and deceases with increasing donor concentration. As previous papers [6] mentioned, replacement diffusion of Ti cations into the lattice sites of Zn cations gives rise to donor concentration. High reactivity of nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol provides a considerable contribution to donor concentration. Therefore,  $\alpha$  of samples with nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol is the lowest, but not much lower than the other two samples.

The current/voltage characteristic of varistors is often empirically described by Power-Law Relation [11],

$$I = (U/C)^{\alpha} \tag{3}$$

where U is the on-load voltage, C is material constant. From this equation, in the condition of U < C,  $\alpha$  is in inverse proportion to  $I_L$ . But there is an exception in samples with nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol that both  $\alpha$  and  $I_L$  are the lowest, which is in apparent contradiction to Power-Law Relation. While loading DC voltage on the varistor, leakage current  $I_L$  is following grain–boundary–grain path mainly, whose value is determined by conductivity of Bi-rich skeleton. From microstructure analysis above, the Bi-rich grain boundaries are very lack in samples added with nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol, which result in lack of path for leakage current. Thus, leakage current is the lowest exceptionally.

### 4 Conclusion

The low voltage varistors added with nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol exhibits large density, low porosity, big granular size, low breakdown voltage gradient and small leakage current, hence nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol dopant is the best grain growth enhancing additive for optimizing almost all the electrical parameters of low voltage ZnO varistors, except nonlinear coefficient  $\alpha$ , which can be improved by other ways.

Nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder exists in forms of agglomerates, whose size is even larger than  $\mu$ m-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder. As a result, nano-effect of nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder cannot bring into play fully as nm-TiO<sub>2</sub> sol.

The size of  $\mu$ m-TiO<sub>2</sub> powder is much larger than that of nm-TiO<sub>2</sub>, which more or less restricted the effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> on ZnO grain growth and mass transfer. Thus, doping effect of  $\mu$ m-TiO<sub>2</sub> is not so well as nm-TiO<sub>2</sub>.

#### References

- 1. M. Matsuoka, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 10, 736 (1971)
- 2. P.R. Emtage, J. Appl. Phys. 48, 4372 (1977)
- G.D. Mahan, L.M. Levinson, H.R. Philipp, J. Appl. Phys. 33, 830 (1978)
- 4. L.F. Lou, Appl. Phys. Lett. 36, 570 (1980)
- 5. L. Wang, W. Wu, X.-G. Li, J. Appl. Phys. 77, 5982 (1995)
- 6. H. Suzuki, R.C. Bradt, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 78, 354 (1995)
- 7. M.L. Mendelson, J. Am. Soc. 78, 1354 (1995)
- 8. S. Bernik, P. Zupancic, et al., J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 19, 709 (1999)
- 9. T. Takemura, M. Kobayashi, et al., J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 69, 430 (1986)
- G.D. Mahan, L.M. Levinson, H.R. Philipp, J. Appl. Phys. 50, 2799 (1979)
- 11. A. Peigney, V. Carles, et al., Mater. Res. Bull. 31, 503 (1996)